

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,029	01/29/2004	Wen Chin Chen	LIMCP010	7604
25920	7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			PHAM, TOAN NGOC	
710 LAKEWA	AY DRIVE			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2632	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,029	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Toan N. Pham	2632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·		
_	_		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		·. Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority documents     2. Certified copies of the priority documents     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/769,029

Art Unit: 2632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as", in line 11, renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/769,029

\_

Art Unit: 2632

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sollestre et al. (US 5,864,297).

Regarding claim 1: Sollestre et al. discloses a remote keyless entry (RKE) system for communicating with the vehicle, which causes a pre-defined functions (activating the horn and interior/exterior lights) to be performed (col. 4, lines 43-57). The system consisting of a transmitter (T) in communication with a receiver (R), each transmitter has a factory pre-set built-in fixed unique identification (ID) code that is permanently stored in memory (40) (col. 5, lines 7-24), which are inherently permanent and does not change due to power interruption; a receiver with memory device (100) includes preprogrammed PROM which are inherently permanent and does not change due to power interruption; and RAM for programming and WRITE to store ID codes that are responsive to the ID codes transmitted by the transmitter (T) to perform the predefined functions (col. 5, lines 7-60; col. 6, lines 5-54), such as activating the horn and interior/exterior lights

Regarding claim 2: Sollestre et al. discloses the claimed ID code embodies a higher communication protocol (col. 5, lines 7-23; col. 7, lines 20-40).

Regarding claim 3: Sollestre et al. discloses the ID code at the transmitter is permanently stored; therefore, is not changeable by the end user (col. 5, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 4: Sollestre et al. discloses the receiver in includes memory that can be programmed to store the ID code (col. 5, line 41-col. 9, line 23).

Regarding claim 5: Sollestre et al. discloses the different number of ID code combination generated from the code generator (col. 5, lines 10-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,029

Art Unit: 2632

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of Birchfield (US 6,700,479), Heitschel et al. (US RE37,986), Ben-Ze'ev (US 6,791,467) and Knox (US 6,212,359) are cited to show a variety of programmed remote control systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan N. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 22, 2005

TOAN N. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4